

Appendix A

Magnetic field calculations

When an object is placed in a homogenous magnetic induction field \mathbf{B}_0 , a distortion of this field will occur, depending on the susceptibility distribution $\chi(\mathbf{r})$ of the object. Assuming the object is non-conductive (so $J = 0$), the following Maxwell's equations will be satisfied

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = 0 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where \mathbf{H} is the magnetic field, $\mathbf{B} = \mu\mathbf{H}$ is the total magnetic induction and the permeability, μ , is related to the susceptibility, χ , by

$$\mu = \mu_0(1 + \chi) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where μ_0 is the permeability of free-space.

Due to time-invariance and the absence of stationary currents the curl of \mathbf{H} vanishes and \mathbf{H} can be expressed as the gradient of the magnetic scalar potential $H = \nabla\phi$. This gives

$$\mathbf{B} = \mu_0(1 + \chi)\nabla\phi \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and hence

$$\mu_0 \nabla \cdot ((1 + \chi)\nabla\phi) = 0. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Let the susceptibility, χ , be expanded as

$$\chi = \chi_0 + \delta\chi_1 \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where χ_0 is the susceptibility of air (i.e. $\mu_{air} = \mu_0(1 + \chi_0)$ with $\chi_0 = 4 \times 10^{-7}$), and δ is a constant that represents the average difference in the susceptibility of tissue and air (e.g. -9.5×10^{-6} for brain tissues), such that the typical range of χ_1 is from 0 to 1. $\chi_1 = 0$ when only air present in the voxel, $\chi_1 = 1$ when only tissue present in the voxel. The values in between reflect the partial volume susceptibility.

Similarly, ϕ can be expanded in a series

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \delta\phi_1 + \delta^2\phi_2 + \dots \quad (\text{A.7})$$

This perturbation expansion in δ can be substituted back into equation A.5 to give

$$\mu_0(1 + \chi_0)\Delta\phi_0 = 0 \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$(1 + \chi_0)\Delta\phi_1 + \nabla \cdot (\chi_1 \nabla \phi_0) = 0 \quad (\text{A.9})$$

for the zeroth and first order terms in δ .

Using the zeroth order equation together with standard vector calculus identities gives a 3D Poisson equation

$$\Delta\phi_1 = \frac{-1}{1 + \chi_0} (\nabla \cdot (\chi_1 \nabla \phi_0)). \quad (\text{A.10})$$

The Green's function for this equation is

$$G(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{-1}{4\pi\|\mathbf{r}\|}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

This allows the solution of the Poisson equation to be written as a convolution

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{r}) = \iiint G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')f(\mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r}' \quad (\text{A.12})$$

or more consisely as, $\phi_1 = G * f$, where $f = \frac{-1}{1+\chi_0} (\nabla \cdot (\chi_1 \nabla \phi_0))$.

From this the z -component of the B field can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} B_z &= \mu H_z = \mu \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \\ &= \mu_0(1 + \chi_0) \frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial z} + \delta \mu_0 \left(\chi_1 \frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial z} + (1 + \chi_0) \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial z} \right) + O(\delta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

As the zeroth order term is $B_z^{(0)} = \mu_0(1 + \chi_0) \partial \phi_0 / \partial z$, then the first order term is

$$B_z^{(1)} = \frac{\chi_1}{1 + \chi_0} B_z^{(0)} + \mu_0(1 + \chi_0) \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial z}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Using the fact that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (G * f) = G * \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} * f$$

holds for any G and f , together with equations A.8, A.9, A.12 and A.14, gives

$$\begin{aligned} B_z^{(1)} &\simeq \frac{\chi_1}{1 + \chi_0} B_z^{(0)} - \frac{1}{1 + \chi_0} \left(\left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x \partial z} \right) * (\chi_1 B_x^{(0)}) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial y \partial z} \right) * (\chi_1 B_y^{(0)}) + \left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial z^2} \right) * (\chi_1 B_z^{(0)}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

More detailed explanation and additional calculations are described in [37].

Bibliography

- [1] A Abragam. *The principles of nuclear magnetism*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1961.
- [2] J.L.R. Andersson, C. Hutton, J. Ashburner, R. Turner, and K. Friston. Modeling geometric deformations in EPI time series. *NeuroImage*, 13:903–919, 2001.
- [3] O.J. Arthurs and S. Boniface. How well do we understand the neural origins of the fMRI bold signal? *Trends Neuroscience*, 25:27–31, 2002.
- [4] M. Baker. Euclideanspace - building a 3d world. On the World-Wide Web at: <http://www.euclideanspace.com/>.
- [5] P.A. Bandettini, J. Bodurka, and N. Petridou. Direct detection of neuronal activity with MRI: fantasy, possibility or reality? *Applied Magnetic Resonance*, 28, 2005.
- [6] P.R. Bannister. *Motion correction for functional magnetic resonance images*. PhD thesis, University of Oxford, 2003.
- [7] C.F. Beckmann and S.M. Smith. Probabilistic independent component analysis for functional magnetic resonance imaging. *IEEE Trans. on Medical Imaging*, 23(2):137–152, 2004.
- [8] J. W. Belliveau, D. N. Kennedy, R. C. Mckinstry, B. R. Buchbinder, R. M. Weisskoff, M. S. Cohen, J. M. Vevea, T. J. Brady, and B. R. Rosen. Functional mapping of the human visual cortex by magnetic resonance imaging. *SCIENCE*, 254(5032):716–719, 1991.
- [9] H. Benoit-Cattin, G. Collewet, B. Belaroussi, H. Saint-Jalmes, and C. Odet. The SIMRI project: a versatile and interactive MRI simulator. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, 173:97–115, 2005.
- [10] J. Bittoun, J. Taquin, and M. Sauzade. A computer algorithm for the simulation of any nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) imaging method. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 2:113–120, 1984.

-
- [11] J. Bodurka and P.A. Bandettini. Toward direct mapping of neuronal activity: MRI detection of ultraweak, transient magnetic field changes. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 47:1052–1058, 2002.
- [12] J. Bodurka, A. Jesmanowicz, J.S. Hyde, H. Xu, L. Estkowski, and S.J. Li. Current-induced magnetic resonance phase imaging. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 137:265–271, 1999.
- [13] R.B Buxton. *Introduction to Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Principles and Techniques*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [14] P.T Callaghan. *Principles of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Microscopy*. Oxford University Press, 1991.
- [15] F.S. Chaudhry. *Smooth Motion of a Rigid Body in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3* . PhD thesis, University of Oxford, 1999.
- [16] S. Clare and P. Jezzard. Rapid t1 mapping using multislice echo planar imaging. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 45:630–634, 2001.
- [17] C.A Cocosco, V. Kollokian, R.K.-S. Kwan, and A.C. Evans. BrainWeb: online interface to a 3D MRI simulated brain database. In *Third Int. Conf. on Functional Mapping of the Human Brain*, 1997.
- [18] M. S. Cohen and S. Y. Bookheimer. Localization of brain function using magnetic resonance imaging. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 17(7):268–277, 1994.
- [19] D.L. Collins, A.P. Zijdenbos, V. Kollokian, J.G. Sled, N.J. Kabani, C.J. Holmes, and A.C. Evans. Design and construction of a realistic digital brain phantom. *IEEE Trans. on Medical Imaging*, 17(3):463–468, 1998.
- [20] R. Deichmann, O. Josephs, C. Hutton, D. R. Corfield, and R. Turner. Compensation of susceptibility-induced bold sensitivity losses in echo-planar fMRI imaging. *NeuroImage*, 15:120–135, 2002.
- [21] I. Drobnjak, C.F. Beckmann, and M. Jenkinson. Quantification of motion-related artifacts in simulated fMRI data using ICA. In *Proc. Int. Soc. of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, page 2840, 2006.
- [22] I. Drobnjak, D. Gavaghan, E. Süli, J. Pitt-Francis, and M. Jenkinson. Development of a fmri simulator for modelling realistic rigid-body motion artifacts. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 56(2):364–380, 2006.
- [23] F. Esposito, E. Formisano, E. Seifritz, R. Goebel, R. Morrone, G. Tedeschi, and F. Di Sale. Spatial independent component analysis of functional MRI time series: to what extent do results depend on the algorithm used? *Human Brain Mapping*, 16:146–157, 2002.

- [24] L. Freire and J.-F. Mangin. Motion correction algorithms of the brain mapping community create spurious functional activations. In M.F. Insana and R.M. Leahy, editors, *17th Int. Conf. on Information Processing in Medical Imaging*, pages 246–258. Springer, June 2001.
- [25] K.J. Friston, A.P. Holmes, K.J. Worsley, J.-B. Poline, C.D. Frith, and R.S.J. Frackowiak. Statistical parametric maps in functional imaging: A general linear approach. *Human Brain Mapping*, 2:189–210, 1995.
- [26] K.J. Friston, S.R. Williams, R. Howard, R.S.J. Frackowiak, and R. Turner. Movement-related effects in fMRI time-series. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 35:346–355, 1996.
- [27] M. Gavrilescu, M E. Shaw, G W. Stuart, P. Eckersley, I D. Svalbe, and G F. Egan. Simulation of the effects of global normalization procedures in functional MRI. *NeuroImage*, 18:532–542, 2002.
- [28] G H. Glover and A T. Lee. Motion artifacts in fMRI: comparison of 2DFT with PR and spiral scan methods. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 33:624–635, 1995.
- [29] E.M. Haacke, R.W. Brown, M.R. Thompson, and R Venkatesan. *Magnetic resonance imaging, physical principles and sequence design*. Wiley-Liss, 1999.
- [30] T Hacklnder and Mertens H. Virtual MRI: a pc-based simulation of a clinical mr scanner. *Academic Radiology*, 12(1):85–96, 2005.
- [31] J.V. Hajnal, R. Myers, A. Oatridge, J.E. Schwieso, I.R. Young, and G.M. Bydder. Artefacts due to stimulus correlated motion in functional imaging of the brain. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 31:283–291, 1994.
- [32] J.V. Hajnal, N. Saeed, E.J. Soar, A. Oatridge, I.R. Young, and G.M. Bydder. A registration and interpolation procedure for subvoxel matching of serially acquired MR images. *Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography*, 19(2):289–296, 1995.
- [33] R.H. Hashemi and W.G. Bradley. *MRI: the basics*. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 1997.
- [34] D.L.G. Hill, A.D.C. Smith, A. Simmons, C.R.Jr. Maurer, T.C.S. Cox, R. Elwes, M. Brammer, D.J. Hawkes, and C.E. Polkey. Sources of error in comparing functional magnetic resonance imaging and invasive electrophysiological recordings. *Journal of Neurosurgery*, 93:214–223, 2000.

- [35] M. Jenkinson. Measuring transformation error by RMS deviation. Internal Technical Report TR99MJ1, Oxford Centre for Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Brain, Department of Clinical Neurology, Oxford University, Oxford, UK, 1999. Available at www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/analysis/techrep for downloading.
- [36] M. Jenkinson, P.R. Bannister, J.M. Brady, and S.M. Smith. Improved optimisation for the robust and accurate linear registration and motion correction of brain images. *NeuroImage*, 17(2):825–841, 2002.
- [37] M. Jenkinson, J. Wilson, and P. Jezzard. A perturbation method for magnetic field calculations of non-conductive objects. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 52(3):471–477, 2004.
- [38] P. Jezzard and R.S. Balaban. Correction for geometric distortion in echo planar images from B0 field variations. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 34:65–73, 1995.
- [39] P. Jezzard, AS Barnett, and C Pierpaoli. Characterization of and correction for eddy current artifacts in echo planar diffusion imaging. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 39(5):801–12, 1998.
- [40] P. Jezzard, P.M. Matthews, and S.M. Smith. *Functional MRI: An Introduction to Methods*. OUP, Oxford, 2001.
- [41] B. Kim, J.L. Boes, P.H. Bland, T.L. Chenevert, and C.R. Meyer. Motion correction in fMRI via registration of individual slices into an anatomical volume. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 41:964–972, 1999.
- [42] D. Konn, P. Gowland, and R. Bowtell. MRI detection of weak magnetic fields due to an extended current dipole in a conducting sphere: A model for direct detection of neuronal currents in the brain. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 50(1):40–49, 2003.
- [43] D. Konn, S. Leach, P. Gowland, and R. Bowtell. Initial attempts at directly detecting alpha wave activity in the brain using MRI. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 22(10):1413–1427, 2004.
- [44] J.B. Kuipers. *Quaternions and Rotation Sequences*. Princeton University Press, 2002.
- [45] R.K.S. Kwan, A.C. Evans, and G.B. Pike. fMRI simulation for quantitative evaluation of analysis methods. *Third Int. Conf. on Functional Mapping of the Human Brain*, 3(4):S449, 1997.

- [46] R.K.S. Kwan, A.C. Evans, and G.B. Pike. MRI simulation-based evaluation of image-processing and classification methods. *IEEE Trans. on Medical Imaging*, 18(11):1085–1097, 1999.
- [47] D Le Bihan, J F Mangin, C Poupon, C A Clark, S Pappata, N Molko, and H Chabriat. Diffusion tensor imaging: concepts and applications. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 13(4):534–46, 2001.
- [48] Z. Liang and P.C. Lauterbur. *Principles of magnetic resonance imaging: a signal processing perspective*. IEEE Press Series in Biomedical Engineering. IEEE Press, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [49] N.K. Logothetis, J. Pauls, M. Augath, T. Trinath, and A. Oeltermann. Neurophysiological investigation of the basis of the fMRI signal. *Nature*, 412:150–157, 2001.
- [50] H. Lu, X. Golay, J.J. Pekar, and P.C.M. van Zijl. Functional magnetic resonance imaging based on changes in vascular space occupancy. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 50:263–274, 2003.
- [51] R.B. Lufkin, R. Keen, M. Rhodes, J. Quinn, W. Glenn, and W. Hanafee. MRI simulator for instruction in pulse-sequence selection. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 147(1):199–202, 1986.
- [52] A S. Lukic, M N. Wernick, and S C. Strother. An evaluation of methods for detecting brain activations from functional neuroimages. *Art Intel Med*, 25:69–88, 2002.
- [53] P. Mansfield. Multi-planar image formation using NMR spin echos. *Journal of Physics C*, 10:L55–L58, 1977.
- [54] F. MIDAS-Consortium:Boada, D.L. Collins, I. Drobnjak, W. Eddy, A.C. Evans, M. Griffin, M. Jenkinson, D. Noll, B. Pike, H. Shi, D. Shroff, V.A. Stenger, and K. Worsley. MIDAS - a multi-site fMRI simulator consortium. In *Tenth Annual Meeting of the Organization for Human Brain Mapping*, 2004.
- [55] L. Muresan, R. Renken, J.B.T.M. Roerdink, and H. Duifhuis. Position-history and spin-history artifacts in fMRI time-series. *Proc. Medical Imaging 2002: Physiology and Function from Multidimensional Image*, 4683:444–451, 2002.
- [56] R.G. Nunes, I. Drobnjak, S. Clare, P. Jezzard, and M. Jenkinson. Quantitative simulation of affine registration for correction of eddy current distortions in diffusion-weighted images. In *Proc. Int. Soc. of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 2005.

- [57] S. Ogawa, T.-M. Lee, A. Nayak, and P. Glynn. Oxygenation-sensitive contrast in magnetic resonance image of rodent brain at high magnetic fields. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 14:68–78, 1990A.
- [58] S. Ogawa, D.W. Tank, R. Menon, J.M. Ellermann, S.G. Kim, H. Merkle, and K. Ugurbil. Intrinsic signal changes accompanying sensory stimulation: Functional brain mapping with magnetic resonance imaging. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 89(13):5951–5, 1992.
- [59] M.B.E. Olsson, R. Wirestam, and B.R.R. Persson. A computer simulation program for MR imaging: Application to RF and static magnetic field imperfection. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 34:612–617, 1995.
- [60] G.S. Pell, D.F. Abbott, S.W. Fleming, J.W. Prichard, and G.D. Jackson. Further steps toward direct magnetic resonance (mr) imaging detection of neural action currents: Optimization of mr sensitivity to transient and weak currents in a conductor. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 55(5):1038–1046, 2006.
- [61] G.S. Pell, D.F. Abbott, G.D. Jackson, S.W. Fleming, and J.W. Prichard. Neurogenic inhomogeneity localisation for detection of activity (nilda). In *Proc. ISMRM 13th Annual Meeting*, page 123, 2005.
- [62] J.S. Petersson, J.-O. Christoffersson, and K. Golman. MRI simulation using the k-space formalism. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 11:557–568, 1993.
- [63] TG Reese, O Heid, RM Weisskoff, and VJ Wedeen. Reduction of eddy-current-induced distortion in diffusion MRI using a twice-refocused spin echo. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 49(1):177–82, 2003.
- [64] TG Reese, RM Weisskof, and VJ Wedeen. Diffusion NMR facilitated by a refocuse eddy-current EPI pulse sequence. In *Proc. Int. Soc. of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, page 663, 1998.
- [65] D.A. Rundle, S Kishore, S.B. Seshadri, and F.W Wehrli. MRI simulator: a teaching tool for radiology. In *SPIE Proceedings*, volume 1234, pages 60–65, 1990.
- [66] Y Shen, DJ Larkman, S Counsell, IM Pu, D Edwards, and JV Hajnal. Correction of high-order eddy current induced geometric distortion in diffusion-weighted echo-planar images. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 52(5):1184–9, 2004.
- [67] S.M. Smith, M. Jenkinson, M.W. Woolrich, C.F. Beckmann, T.E.J. Behrens, H. Johansen-Berg, P.R. Bannister, M. De Luca, I. Drobnjak, D.E. Flitney, R. Niazy, J. Saunders, J. Vickers, Y. Zhang, N. De Stefano, J.M. Brady, and

- P.M. Matthews. Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. *NeuroImage*, 23(S1):208–219, 2004.
- [68] R.M. Summers, L. Axel, and S. Israel. A computer simulation of nuclear magnetic resonance imaging. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 3:363–376, 1986.
- [69] G. Torheim, P.A. Rinck, R.A. Jones, and J. Kvaerness. A simulator for teaching mr image contrast behavior. *Magnetic Resonance Materials in Physics, Biology and Medicine*, 2(4), 1994.
- [70] R.P. Woods, S.R. Cherry, and J.C. Mazziotta. Rapid automated algorithm for aligning and reslicing PET images. *Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography*, 16(4):620–633, 1992.
- [71] M.W. Woolrich, B.D. Ripley, J.M. Brady, and S.M. Smith. Temporal autocorrelation in univariate linear modelling of FMRI data. *NeuroImage*, 14(6):1370–1386, 2001.
- [72] K.J. Worsley, A.C. Evans, S. Marrett, and P. Neelin. A three-dimensional statistical analysis for CBF activation studies in human brain. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism*, 12:900–918, 1992.
- [73] J. Xiong, P.T. Fox, and J.H. Gao. Directly mapping magnetic field effects of neuronal activity by magnetic resonance imaging. *Human Brain Mapping*, 20(1):41–49, 2003.
- [74] D.A. Yoder, Y. Zhao, C.B. Paschal, and M Fitzpatrick. MRI simulator with object-specific field map calculations. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 22:315–328, 2004.